

Numerical Modelling of the Internal Erosion Process in Granular Soils using the Material Point Method

Javier L. Mroginski, Juan M. Podestá, Juan M. López, Nelson Araujo

Computational Mechanics Laboratory (LAMEC-IMIT-CONICET) - Northeast National University (UNNE) Av. Las Heras 727, 3500 Resistencia, Chaco, Argentina. javierm@ing.unne.edu.ar

Abstract. Accidents caused by landslides, landslides, or large soil pits in cities are often seen in the media. These events are usually related to erosion, and there are several causes related to this phenomenon, but without a doubt, the main cause is the runoff of water in direct contact with the soil mass. A specific type of internal erosion called *piping* is the most common cause of failure in dikes and / or earth dams, such as the Aznacollar-Spain dam failure in 1998. Internal erosion can be a natural process, but sometimes they are due to poor decisions or lack of foresight in structural design. The main challenge, then, is to predict with a certain degree of reliability the danger of undermining in this type of structure, subject to large deformations and important changes in its edge conditions. As is well known, one of the great limitations of classical numerical methods, such as the Finite Element Method (FEM), in the simulation of large deformations is the mesh distortion, thus a better alternative should be used. The objective of this work is to present a theoretical/numerical approach to the scour problem using the Material Point Method (MPM), which was developed in order to correct mesh dependence problems with extreme deformations.

Keywords: scour, explicit method, material point method

1 Introduction

The study and prediction of natural disasters as well as man-made disasters are very important issues that have attracted the attention of the scientific community for decades. This interest is mainly due to the wellknown relationship between the aforementioned events and illness proliferation, life quality deterioration of urban settlements, and, in some extreme cases, loss of lives.

Experimental modeling of these large scale events (sudden hillslopes failures, seaquake, oil spills, tsunamis, etc.) [\[1,](#page-3-0) [2\]](#page-3-1) is almost impossible to perform due to the high costs involved and the several variables that need to be taken into account. For this reason, numerical simulation tools gain importance over experimental techniques, either by the cost of realization or the accuracy of the results.

Regarding the available numerical methods for large deformations in large scale problems, it is well known that particle methods adapt with less difficulty to high deformation rates compared against classical continuous methods, as Finite Element Method (FEM).

Particle methods, which can be derived from a continuum framework, were mostly proposed to model large deformation behavior of granular material using conventional constitutive models. A wide range of meshfree methods are available in the literature and these include Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) Method [\[3,](#page-3-2) [4\]](#page-3-3), Material Point Method (MPM) [\[2,](#page-3-1) [5\]](#page-3-4), Element Free Galerkin method [\[6\]](#page-3-5), Particle Finite Element Method (PFEM) [\[7,](#page-3-6) [8\]](#page-3-7), etc. Many of these meshfree methods have been applied almost exclusively to computational fluid dynamics (CFD) problems. However, a few years ago some of them were applied to the study of the mechanical behavior of solid continua.

Regarding geotechnical problems, some authors have applied the SPH method to the study of soil slope stability [\[9,](#page-3-8) [10\]](#page-4-0), fluid-soil interaction [\[11\]](#page-4-1), and also combined the SPH method with the Peridynamic method [\[12](#page-4-2)[–14\]](#page-4-3), while others report solutions with PFEM applied to large deformations of granular materials [\[15,](#page-4-4) [16\]](#page-4-5) and effective stress analysis of insertion problems (see Ref. [\[17\]](#page-4-6)). Nevertheless, probably the most popular meshfree method for large deformation and dynamic model of geotechnical problems is the MPM. In this way, Lei et al. 2020 [\[18\]](#page-4-7), propose a generalized interpolation material point (GIMP) method for modeling the seepage process in granular materials, other authors [\[1,](#page-3-0) [5,](#page-3-4) [19–](#page-4-8)[21\]](#page-4-9) simulate the slope failure during large deformation Zhang et al. 2009 [\[22\]](#page-4-10), and Liang et al. 2017 [\[23\]](#page-4-11), include impact loads on geotechnical problems.

Although PFEM and SPH methods are widely used, they have some disadvantages for geotechnical problems involving boundary interfaces. Also, the loss of accuracy near the boundaries, due to insufficient neighboring particles, result in the loss of consistency, which is a widely investigated topic by the SPH and PFEM research community. Furthermore, numerical oscillations of particles may occur, since SPH does not require the velocity field to be single-valued (i.e. allows particle penetration) [\[24,](#page-4-12) [25\]](#page-4-13).

As it is usual in the classical MPM method [\[5\]](#page-3-4), all the information is attached to material points while the grid does not save permanent information. An important advantage of this method is that the application of boundary conditions is straightforward due to the presence of the background grid and can be applied to grid nodes as in the FEM.

The numerical simulation of continuous media with interstitial pore pressure has also been studied by several authors, some of them present the formulation and numerical implementation for saturated porous media [\[22,](#page-4-10) [26–](#page-4-14) [28\]](#page-4-15), partially saturated soils [\[18,](#page-4-7) [29\]](#page-4-16) as well as an extension to multiphase flow [\[30\]](#page-4-17). Other researchers present applications of the MPM to real-world engineering problems, for example the study of cone penetration [\[31\]](#page-4-18), hydraulic fracture in excavations [\[32\]](#page-4-19) as well as structural collapse [\[26,](#page-4-14) [33\]](#page-4-20). In this sense, one of the most popular problems, commonly studied in geotechnical engineering, is the static and dynamic analysis of the coupled soil skeleton/fluids pore pressure mechanical behavior in deformable porous media. This theoretical formulation was mainly studied and implemented in the FEM framework [\[34–](#page-4-21)[38\]](#page-5-0) in order to model the deformation and pore fluid flow in a time-dependent process for an initially undrained condition [\[39\]](#page-5-1), followed by a consolidation process.

Since the MPM can be viewed as a special Lagrangian FEM with particle quadrature and continuous meshupdating with the capability of modeling very large deformations, the theoretical framework of porous media can be implemented in the MPM context by taking into account some special considerations. In this sense, some authors proposed a formulation and numerical implementation of the MPM to simulate the dynamic behavior of porous media, but mainly using a single point for the entire mixture [\[22,](#page-4-10) [26,](#page-4-14) [28,](#page-4-15) [31,](#page-4-18) [40\]](#page-5-2). Other authors proposed one material point for each phase [\[27,](#page-4-22) [41,](#page-5-3) [42\]](#page-5-4). However, a fully coupled MPM model still requires further development in order to preserve its simplicity and accuracy in the numerical modeling of large deformation of porous media and the prediction of failure shapes of foundations and structures.

Thus, the main aim of this work is to develop a general formulation of multiphase flow in porous media, based on the mixture theory, and its numerical implementation focused on numerical modeling of the internal erosion process granular soils.

Therefore, the proposed formulation is particularly well-adapted for the dynamic analysis of large deformations of biphasic porous media, but also, due to its generic theoretical foundation, this numerical implementation can easily be extended to multiphase flow in porous media [\[34,](#page-4-21) [36,](#page-4-23) [43\]](#page-5-5).

2 Linear momentum balance equation of saturated porous media

Firstly the linear momentum balance equation for the solid phase was obtained considering the total stress tensor and by assuming the superscript *s* and $\pi = w$ for solid skeleton and water phase, respectively, as:

$$
\left(\sigma'_{ij} - (1 - n)p^{\mathbf{w}}\delta_{ij}\right)_{,j} + \tilde{\rho}^s g_i - \tilde{\rho}^s a_i^s + nR^{\mathbf{w}}v_i^{\mathbf{w}} = 0\tag{1}
$$

being, $\tilde{\rho}^s = (1 - n)\rho^s$ and $\tilde{\rho}^w = n\rho^w$ the average solid and water density, respectively.

Furthermore, the linear momentum balance equation of the water phase can be obtained by considering the water stress tensor, neglecting momentum exchange terms

$$
\tilde{\rho}^w a_i^w + (n p^w)_{,i} - \tilde{\rho}^w g_i + n R^w v_i^{ws} = 0
$$
\n(2)

Finally, combining Eqs. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)-[\(2\)](#page-1-1) the linear momentum balance equation of the entire mixture can be obtained

$$
\tilde{\rho}^s a_i^s + \tilde{\rho}^w a_i^w - \sigma_{ij,j} - \tilde{\rho} b_i = 0
$$
\n(3)

grouping body force terms in *bⁱ* . Also, regarding the stress tensor for water saturated porous media, the classical effective stress tensor can be expressed as

$$
\sigma'_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + p^w \delta_{ij} \tag{4}
$$

3 Material Point formulation

In this work, the theoretical approach is developed by assuming the porous media as a multiphase heterogeneous material, therefore each constituent should be adequately described. At the best of our knowledge, there are

Proceedings of the XLIII Ibero-Latin-American Congress on Computational Methods in Engineering, ABMEC Foz do Iguac¸u, Brazil, November 21-25, 2022

Figure 1. Representative volume element for fully-saturated porous medium.

Figure 2. Material point domain at different stages.

at least mainly two ways of performing this issue. Although both approaches are based on the classical framework of the mixture theory proposed by Hassanizadeh and Gray Hassanizadeh and Gray [\[44,](#page-5-6) [45,](#page-5-7) [46\]](#page-5-8), there is a fundamental difference between them. The first approaches Lewis and Schrefler [\[37\]](#page-5-9), Borja and Koliji [\[38\]](#page-5-0), Beneyto et al. [\[43\]](#page-5-5), Schreyer-Bennethum [\[47\]](#page-5-10) assume the validity of the mixture theory at the macroscale, thus, every multiphase constituent occupies, in the same time and space, a certain body subdomain according to each volume fraction. Therefore, just one material point is required to describe the mechanic and kinematic of a piece of porous media. Despite the good efficiency of these models, there is a considerable loss of modeling accuracy when the relative speed between the different phases becomes more important.

In order to overcome the above mentioned issue, a different hypothesis for the material description can be assumed. In the present approach, each constituent of the multiphase porous media is discretized with an individual material point [\[27,](#page-4-22) [41,](#page-5-3) [42\]](#page-5-4). Therefore, there is no loss of accuracy due to a significant rate of relative velocity between multiphase constituents of the porous media.

3.1 Main hypothesis

As it was mentioned before, the present work is based on the classical continuum mechanics and mixture theory. Therefore, the following assumptions are made for the coupled MPM formulation:

- Each phase (solid and water) is described in a Lagrangian formulation
- Each constituent can be represented as a continuous medium
- Isothermal conditions
- Mass exchange between solid and water phases is neglected
- Variation of water density is not allowed

In the current configuration, the solid skeleton and water phase can be viewed as the superposition of two continuous media. The material point position for both, the solid skeleton and water phase (x^{α} being $\alpha = s, w$ for solid or water material points, respectively) are located at the current configuration in Ω_{α} and might have placed at different positions in the reference configuration, Ω_0

3.2 Weak formulation

The weak formulation for the momentum balance equation for the water phase can be derived from by multiplying each component by the test function $\delta\omega_i^w(x_i^w)$ and then integrating over the current water particles domain Ω*w*.

$$
\int_{\Omega_w} \delta \omega_i^w \left(\tilde{\rho}^w a_i^w + (np^w)_{,i} - \tilde{\rho}^w g_i + nR^w v_i^{ws} \right) d\Omega_w = 0 \tag{5}
$$

Then, integrating by parts the term which involves the gradient of pore pressure and using the divergence theorem on the traction boundary condition of

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega_w} \delta \omega_i^w (n_i \bar{p}^w - t_i^w) d\partial \Omega_w = 0
$$
\n(6)

the weak form of the momentum balance equation for the water phase can be derived

$$
\int_{\Omega_{w}} \delta \omega_{i}^{w} \tilde{\rho}^{w} \bar{a}_{i}^{w} d\Omega_{w} - \int_{\Omega_{w}} \delta \omega_{i}^{w} \tilde{\rho}^{w} b_{i} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_{w}} \delta \omega_{i}^{w} n R^{w} \left(v_{i}^{w} - v_{i}^{s} \right) d\Omega - \int_{\Omega_{w}} \delta \omega_{i,i}^{w} n \tilde{\rho}^{w} d\Omega_{w} + \int_{\partial \Omega_{w}} \delta \omega_{i}^{w} t_{i}^{w} d\partial \Omega_{w} = 0
$$
\n(7)

Regarding the entire mixture, the weak form of the momentum balance equation can be obtained by multiply-ing Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-2) by the test function $\delta \omega_i^{\alpha}(x_i^{\alpha})$ and then integrating over the current configuration Ω_{α} , being $\alpha = s, w$. Also, the stress term was integrated by parts and the divergence theorem was considered.

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\alpha}} \delta \omega_i^{\alpha} \left(\tilde{\rho}^s \bar{a}_i^s + \tilde{\rho}^w \bar{a}_i^w - \sigma_{ij,j} - \tilde{\rho} b_i \right) d\Omega_{\alpha} = 0 \tag{8}
$$

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega_s} \delta \omega_i^s \left(\sigma_{ij} n_j - t_i^s \right) d \partial \Omega_s = 0 \tag{9}
$$

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega_w} \delta \omega_i^w (\delta_{ij} n_j \bar{p}^w - t_i^w) d\partial \Omega_w = 0
$$
\n(10)

The third term on the left side of Eq. [\(8\)](#page-3-9) can be rewritten integrating by parts Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-10) and Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-11), assuming $\delta\omega_i|_{\partial\Omega^{\alpha}_{\alpha}}=0$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \delta \omega_i^s \tilde{\rho}^s \bar{a}_i^s d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \delta \omega_i^w \tilde{\rho}^w \bar{a}_i^w d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \delta \omega_{i,j}^s \sigma_{ij}^{\prime} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \delta \omega_{i,j}^w \bar{\rho}^w \delta_{ij} d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \delta \omega_i^s \tilde{\rho}^s b_i d\Omega - \int_{\Omega} \delta \omega_i^w \tilde{\rho}^w b_i d\Omega - \int_{\partial \Omega_s} \delta \omega_i^s t_i^s d\partial \Omega_s - \int_{\partial \Omega_w} \delta \omega_i^w t_i^w d\partial \Omega_w = 0 \quad (11)
$$

4 Conclusions

A fully coupled particle method, based on the material point method, for the dynamic analysis of large deformations on saturated porous media, has been presented. A general theoretical framework for multiphase porous media, based on the classical mixture theory, was also presented as well as its particularization to the fully saturated case. The discretization of the mass balance equations for each phase was carried out according to the Garlekin method in order to achieve the discrete equation of motion for the numerical implementation. In this sense, the numerical implementation and solution algorithm was proposed in the material point context.

Acknowledgements. Funding This work was partly funded by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, Argentina) as well as Secretaría de General de Ciencia y Técnica de la Universidad Nacional del Nordeste (UNNE), Argentina (Grants PI 17D002). Also, the authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from the Secretaría de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Universidad Tecnológica Nacional Facultad Regional Resistencia (UTN-FRRe, Argentina, Grant PID 4912TC (2018)) and the Agencia Nacional de Promocion´ Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT, Argentina, Grants PICTO-UNNE-2019-00014 and PICT-2018-01607). Authorship statement. The authors hereby confirm that they are the sole liable persons responsible for the authorship of this work, and that all material that has been herein included as part of the present paper is either the property (and authorship) of the authors, or has the permission of the owners to be included here.

References

[1] C. Masta, P. Arduino, G. Miller, and P. Mackenzie-Helnwein. Avalanche and landslide simulation using the material point method: flow dynamics and force interaction with structures. *Computational Geosciences*, vol. 18, n. 5, pp. 817–830, 2014.

[2] W.-C. Yang, K. Shekhar, P. Arduino, P. Mackenzie-Helnwein, and G. Miller. Modeling tsunami induced debris impacts on bridge structures using the material point method. *Procedia Engineer.*, vol. 175, pp. 175–181, 2017.

[3] J. Klapp, O. Areu-Rangel, M. Cruchaga, R. Aranguiz, R. Bonasia, M. G. Seura, and R. Silva-Casar. Tsunami hydrodynamic force on a building using a sph real scale numerical simulation. *Natural Hazards*, vol. 100, pp. 89–109, 2020.

[4] J. Monaghan. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 68, n. 8, pp. 1703–1759, 2005.

[5] X. Zhang, Z. Chen, and Y. Liu. *The Material Point Method, A Continuum-Based Particle Method for Extreme Loading Cases*. Elsevier, 2017.

[6] T. Belytschko and Y. Lu. Element free galerkin method. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.*, vol. 37, pp. 229–256, 1994.

[7] E. Oñate, S. Idelsohn, F. D. Pin, and R. Aubry. The particle finite element method. An overview. *Int. J. Comput. Methods*, vol. 1, n. 2, pp. 267–307, 2004.

[8] S. Idelsohn and E. Oñate. To mesh or not to mesh. That is the question.... *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech.*, vol. 195, n. 37-40, pp. 4681–4696, 2006.

[9] E. Blanco-Fernandez, D. Castro-Fresno, J. del Coz Diaz, A. Navarro-Manso, and M.Alonso-Martinez. Flexible membranes anchored to the ground for slope stabilisation: Numerical modelling of soil slopes using SPH. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 78, pp. 1–10, 2015.

CILAMCE-2022

Proceedings of the XLIII Ibero-Latin-American Congress on Computational Methods in Engineering, ABMEC Foz do Iguac¸u, Brazil, November 21-25, 2022

[10] Q. Wu, Y. An, and Q. Liu. SPH-Based simulations for slope failure considering soil-rock interaction. *Procedia Engineer.*, vol. 102, pp. 1824–1849, 2015.

[11] H. Bui and G. Nguyen. A coupled fluid-solid sph approach to modelling flow through deformable porous media. *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, vol. 125, pp. 244–264, 2017.

[12] H. Fan, G. B. Bergel, and S. Li. A hybrid peridynamics-SPH simulation of soil fragmentation by blast loads of buried explosive. *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, vol. 87, pp. 14–27, 2016.

[13] H. Fan and S. Li. A peridynamics-SPH modeling and simulation of blast fragmentation of soil under buried explosive loads. *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech.*, vol. 318, pp. 349–381, 2017.

[14] S. J. Raymond, B. Jones, and J. R. Williams. A strategy to couple the material point method (MPM) and smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) computational techniques. *Computational Particle Mechanics*, vol. 5, pp. 49–58, 2018.

[15] X. Zhang, ans K. K. D.M. Pedroso, A. Lyamin, D.Sheng, M. da Silva, and D. Wang. Particle finite element analysis of large deformation and granular flow problems. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 54, pp. 133–142, 2013.

[16] C. Dávalos, J. Cante, J. Hernández, and J. Oliver. On the numerical modeling of granular material flows via the particle finite element method (PFEM). *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, vol. 71, pp. 99–125, 2015.

[17] L. Monforte, M. Arroyo, J. Carbonell, and A. Gens. Coupled effective stress analysis of insertion problems in geotechnics with the particle finite element method. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 101, pp. 114–129, 2018.

[18] X. Lei, S. He, X. Chen, H. Wong, L. Wu, and E. Liu. A generalized interpolation material point method for modelling coupled seepage-erosion-deformation process within unsaturated soils. *Adv. Water Resour.*, vol. 141, pp. 103578, 2020.

[19] M. Goodarzi and M. Rouainia. Modelling slope failure using a quasi-static MPM with a non-local strain softening approach. *Procedia Engineer.*, vol. 175, pp. 220–225, 2017.

[20] P. Vardon, B. Wang, and M. Hicks. Slope failure simulations with MPM. *Journal of Hydrodynamics, Ser. B*, vol. 29, n. 3, pp. 445–451, 2017.

[21] X. Liu, Y. Wang, and D.-Q. Li. Investigation of slope failure mode evolution during large deformation in spatially variable soils by random limit equilibrium and material point methods. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 111, pp. 301–312, 2019.

[22] H. Zhang, K. Wang, and Z.Chena. Material point method for dynamic analysis of saturated porous media under external contact/impact of solid bodies. *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech.*, vol. 198, n. 17-20, pp. 1456–1472, 2009.

[23] D. Liang, X. Zhao, and M. Martinelli. MPM simulations of the interaction between water jet and soil bed. *Procedia Engineer.*, vol. 175, pp. 242–249, 2017.

[24] J. Monaghan. On the problem of penetration in particle methods. *J. Comput. Phys.*, vol. 82, pp. 1–15, 1983.

[25] J. Monaghan and R. Gingold. Shock simulation by the particle method SPH. *J. Comput. Phys.*, vol. 52, pp. 374–389, 1983.

[26] E. Alonso and F. Zabala. Progressive failure of aznalcollar dam using the material point method. *Geotechnique*, vol. 61, n. 9, pp. 795–808, 2011.

[27] S. Bandara and K. Soga. Coupling of soil deformation and pore fluid flow using material point method. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 63, pp. 199–214, 2015.

[28] I. Jassim, D. Stolle, and P. Vermeer. Two-phase dynamic analysis by material point method. *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, vol. 37, n. 15, pp. 2502–2522, 2013.

[29] A. Yerro, E. Alonso, and N. Pinyol. The material point method for unsaturated soils. *Geotechnique*, vol. 65, n. 3, pp. 201–217, 2015.

[30] D. Zhang, Q. Zou, W. VanderHeyden, and X. Ma. Material point method applied to multiphase flows. *J. Comput. Phys.*, vol. 227, n. 6, pp. 3159–3173, 2008.

[31] F. Ceccato, L. Beuth, P. Vermeer, and P. Simonini. Two-phase material point method applied to the study of cone penetration. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 80, pp. 440–452, 2016.

[32] F. Fatemizadeh, D. Stolle, and C. Moormann. Studying hydraulic failure in excavations using two-phase material point method. *Procedia Engineer.*, vol. 175, pp. 250–257, 2017.

[33] Y. Yamaguchi, S. Takase, S. Moriguchi, and K. Terada. Solid-liquid coupled material point method for simulation of ground collapse with fluidization. *Computational Particle Mechanics*, vol. 7, pp. 209–223, 2020.

[34] J. L. Mroginski, H. A. Di Rado, P. A. Beneyto, and A. M. Awruch. A finite element approach for multiphase fluid flow in porous media. *Math. Comput. Simul.*, vol. 81, pp. 76–91, 2010.

[35] H. A. Di Rado, P. A. Beneyto, J. L. Mroginski, and A. M. Awruch. Influence of the saturation-suction relationship in the formulation of non-saturated soils consolidation models. *Math. Comput. Model.*, vol. 49, n. 5-6, pp. 1058–1070, 2009.

[36] B. A. Schrefler and F. Pesavento. Multiphase flow in deforming porous material. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 31, pp. 237–250, 2004.

[37] R. W. Lewis and B. A. Schrefler. *The Finite Element Method in the Static and Dynamic Deformation and Consolidation of Porous Media*. John Wiley & Sons., 1998.

[38] R. I. Borja and A. Koliji. On the effective stress in unsaturated porous continua with double porosity. *J. Mech. Phys. Solids.*, vol. 57, n. 8, pp. 1182–1193, 2009.

[39] J. L. Mroginski and G. Etse. A finite element formulation of gradient-based plasticity for porous media with C1 interpolation of internal variables. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 49, pp. 7–17, 2013.

[40] Y.-C. Su, J. Tao, S. Jiang, Z. Chen, and J.-M. Lu. Study on the fully coupled thermodynamic fluid-structure interaction with the material point method. *Computational Particle Mechanics*, vol. 7, pp. 225–240, 2020.

[41] P. Mackenzie-Helnwein, P. Arduino, W. Shin, M. Mooney, and G. Miller. Modeling strategies for multiphase drag interactions using the material point method. *Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng.*, vol. 83, n. 3, pp. 295–322, 2010.

[42] K. Abe, K. Soga, and S. Bandara. Material point method for coupled hydromechanical problems. *J Geotech Geoenviron Eng*, vol. 140, n. 3, pp. 04013033, 2014.

[43] P. Beneyto, H. D. Rado, J. Mroginski, and A. Awruch. A versatile mathematical approach for environmental geomechanic modeling based on stress state decomposition. *Appl. Math. Model.*, vol. 39, n. 22, pp. 6880–6896, 2015.

[44] S. Hassanizadeh and W. Gray. General conservation equation for multiphase sistems: 1, averaging procedures. *Adv. Water Resour.*, vol. 2, pp. 131–144, 1979a.

[45] S. Hassanizadeh and W. Gray. General conservation equation for multiphase sistems: 2, mass momenta, energy and entropy equations. *Adv. Water Resour.*, vol. 2, pp. 191–203, 1979b.

[46] S. Hassanizadeh and W. Gray. General conservation equation for multiphase sistems: 3, constitutive theory for porous media flow. *Adv. Water Resour.*, vol. 3, pp. 25–40, 1980.

[47] L. Schreyer-Bennethum. Theory of flow and deformation of swelling porous materials at the macroscale. *Comput. Geotech.*, vol. 34, n. 4, pp. 267–278, 2007.